

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,237		10/31/2001	Yong Kyun Cho	P-9957.00	2097
27581	7590	06/10/2005		EXAMINER	
MEDTR	ONIC, INC	2.	SMITH, RUTH S		
710 MED	TRONIC PA	ARKWAY NE			
MS-LC34	.0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEA	POLIS, MI	N 55432-5604	3737		

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/004,237	CHO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Examiner Ruth S. Smith	Art Unit						
	Ruth S. Smith	3737	l					
		0.01						
THE DEDLY EILED OR III 2005 EAIL O TO DI ACE THIS AE	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>00 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no								
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in cor of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in b		educing or simplifying	g the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling		ejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be	(s): allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendr	nent canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a	a) Will not be entered, or b)	will be entered and an	explanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rovided below or appended.	•						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action,	but before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Anneal will	not be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	and sufficient reasons why the affid	avit or other evidence	is necessary					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fili entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess 	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app ary and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant f See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a)(1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered		4	ance because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	Ruth S. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3737	X					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed changes to claims 6,13,19 raise new issues.

Application No.

10804231

Continuation of 13. Other: It should be noted that the amendment to claim 6 still does not address the rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph in that the claim still sets forth that cardiac activity will not be sensed in the presence of low energy EMI.